

# Free structure of factors

Ted Hurley

## Abstract

Factors  $\frac{X}{Y}$  in a free group  $F$  with  $Y$  normal in  $X$  are considered. Precise results on the free structure of  $Y$  relative to the free structure of  $X$  when  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is abelian are obtained. Some extensions and applications are given as for example to the construction of lower central factors in general groups. A collecting process on free generators, which gives basic commutator-type free generators for some subgroups, is also presented. The notion of *relative basic commutators* is developed.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the *free structure* of factors  $\frac{X}{Y}$  in a free group  $F$ , by which is meant the free structure of  $Y$  relative to the free structure of  $X$ . More precisely the free structure of  $\frac{X}{Y}$  determines a free basis  $A \cup B$  for  $X$  such that  $B \cup C$  is a free basis for  $Y$  where  $C$  is a set obtained from  $A, B$  in a basic commutator type construction. The cases where  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is abelian is dealt with in detail and some extensions and applications are given. A collecting process on free generators which gives basic commutator-type free generators for some subgroups is also presented.

Let  $\frac{X}{Y}$  be a factor in a general group  $G$  which is represented as  $\phi : G \cong \frac{F}{R}$  with  $R$  normal in the free group  $F$ . Then  $X \cong \frac{\hat{X}}{R}, Y \cong \frac{\hat{Y}}{R}$  where  $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$  are the images in  $F$  of  $X, Y$  respectively under  $\phi$ . Thus, in a sense, factors in free groups represent factors in general groups.

The  $n^{th}$  lower central factor of  $F, \frac{\gamma_n(F)}{\gamma_{n+1}(F)}$ , is well known to be the free abelian group on the *basic commutators* of weight  $n$  formed from the free generators of  $F$ ; see for example [1] Chapter 4. Suppose then  $G \cong \frac{F}{R}$  where  $R$  is normal in the free group  $F$ . The  $n^{th}$  lower central factor of  $G$  is  $\frac{\gamma_n(G)}{\gamma_{n+1}(G)}$  and satisfies  $\frac{\gamma_n(G)}{\gamma_{n+1}(G)} \cong \left( \frac{\gamma_n(F)}{\gamma_{n+1}(F)} \right) / \left( \frac{R \cap \gamma_n(F)}{R \cap \gamma_{n+1}(F)} \right)$ .

Thus this general lower central factor is the factor group of the known (free) abelian factor by the (free) abelian factor  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_n(F)}{R \cap \gamma_{n+1}(F)}$ . The structure of the  $n^{th}$  lower central factors of  $G$  is known once the structure of  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_n(F)}{R \cap \gamma_{n+1}(F)}$  is known.

Suppose now  $F$  is free on a finite set and  $R$  is finitely generated as a normal subgroup – that is,  $G \cong \frac{F}{R}$  is finitely presented. The free structures of  $\frac{R}{R \cap \gamma_2(F)}$  and  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_2(F)}{R \cap \gamma_3(F)}$  are determined, using in the latter case what we define as *relative*

*basic commutators.* Relative (to  $R$ ) basic commutators can be defined to study general  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_n(F)}{R \cap \gamma_{n+1}(F)}$ .

The free structure of  $\gamma_m(F)$  all the way down to  $\gamma_n(F)$  for  $n > m$  is given in [3].

The *Schur Multiplier* of  $G$  is an abelian factor  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_2(F)}{[R, F]}$  which is independent of the free presentation  $G \cong \frac{F}{R}$  – see for example [2]. The Multiplier is isomorphic to  $\left(\frac{R \cap (\gamma_2 F)}{R'}\right) / \left(\frac{[R, F]}{R'}\right)$ . The free structure of  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_2(F)}{R'}$  is determined. Generators for  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_2(F)}{[R, F]}$  are given in terms of free generators of  $R$ .

## 2 Abelian factors in free groups

Suppose  $A, B$  are two sets where the union  $A \cup B$  is fully ordered in a way that every member of  $A$  precedes every member of  $B$ . Then the ‘ $U$ -construction’ produces the set,  $U = U(A, B)$  say, which consists of all words of the form

$$[b^\beta, a_1^{\alpha_1}, a_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_q^{\alpha_q}]$$

where  $q \geq 1, b \in A \cup B, a_i \in A$ , the indices  $\beta, \alpha_i = \pm 1, b > a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_q$  and if  $b \in B \Rightarrow \beta = +1$ . Further the indices are *index coherent* which means that if any two of the elements are equal then their indices are the same.

See [3] for further details on such constructions.

In other words the  $U$ -construction forms commutators of the type:

$$[\frac{B^+}{A}, A, A, \dots, A]$$

$A$  in a position means that an element of  $A \cup A^{-1}$  occurs in that position;  $B^+$  means an element of  $B$  (with positive sign) may occur in that position – the entries are ordered as normally expected in a commutator and in addition there is the condition that equal entries have the same sign.

Note: In the case where  $B = \phi$ , the empty set, we actually do get something useful, namely a free generating set for the the derived group of the group generated by  $\{A\}$ , (when  $A$  itself is independent).

There are a number of equivalent constructions – see [3].

**Theorem 2.1** *If  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is a free abelian factor then there exists a free basis  $A \cup B$  for  $X$  such that  $B \cup U$  is a free basis for  $Y$  where the set  $U$  is the  $U$ -construction set formed from  $A \cup B$ .*

Interpret “=” as “has as free basis” and then the theorem can be visualised as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X &=: A \cup B \\ Y &=: B \cup [\frac{B^+}{A}, A, A, \dots, A] \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2 is similar but applies in more general to a factor  $\frac{X}{Y}$  which is a finitely generated abelian group and not just a free abelian group.

Suppose we are given sets  $A_1, A_2, B$  with  $|A_1| = r$  and positive integers  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ . We now form the *restricted U-construction*,  $RU = RU(A_1, A_2, B, \Gamma)$  on  $(A_1, A_2, B)$ .

The restricted  $U$ -construction is similar to the normal  $U$ -construction except now we restrict the number of occurrences in a commutator of an element in  $A_1$

$RU$  consists of all commutators of the following form.

$$[b^\beta, a_1^{\alpha_1}, a_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_q^{\alpha_q}]$$

where  $q \geq 1, b \in A \cup B, a_i \in A$ , the indices  $\beta, \alpha_i = \pm 1, b > a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_q$  and if  $b \in B \Rightarrow \beta = +1$ .

Further the indices are *index coherent* which means that if any two of the elements are equal then their indices are the same. *Further if  $x_i \in A_1$  occurs in the commutator its length (= the number of times it occurs) is  $\leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i$  and if its length is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i$  then its exponent is  $+1$ .*

Define  $\hat{A}_1 = \{x_1^{\alpha_1}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_r^{\alpha_r}\}$  and  $\hat{B} = B \cup \hat{A}_1$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *If  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is a finitely generated abelian factor which is the direct product of the cyclics  $C_{\gamma_i}$  generated by  $x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $t$  infinite cyclic groups generated by  $x_j$  for  $r < j \leq r+t$  and  $\gamma_i/\gamma_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Then there also exists a free basis  $A \cup B$  for  $X$  with  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  such that  $\hat{B} \cup RU$  is a free basis for  $Y$  where the set  $RU = RU(A_1, A_2, B, \Gamma)$  is the restricted  $U$ -construction formed from  $(A_1, A_2, \hat{B})$ .*

We can visualise these theorems as follows: Let  $\frac{X}{Y}$  be abelian in the free group  $F$ . Then:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & =: & A \cup B \\ Y & =: & B \cup C \end{array}$$

The set  $C$  is of course different in the two theorems. In Theorem 2.1,  $\frac{X}{Y}$  may be infinitely generated.

A collecting process in free groups is also presented which has independent interest; the process is set up within the proofs of the theorems.

## 2.1 Proofs

**Theorem 2.1:** *If  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is a free abelian factor then there exists a free basis  $A \cup B$  for  $X$  such that  $B \cup U$  is a free basis for  $Y$  where the set  $U$  is the  $U$ -construction set formed from  $A \cup B$ .*

**Proof:** To prove Theorem 2.1 we proceed in three stages:

1.  $X$  has a free generating set  $A \cup B$  where  $A$  freely generates  $\frac{X}{Y}$  and each element of  $B$  is in  $Y$ .
2.  $B \cup C$  is independent.
3.  $B \cup C$  generates  $Y$ .

We show item 1 initially when  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is finitely generated. Suppose then  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is free abelian on  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$ .

Then in terms of a free basis  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  for  $X$  we can write, using only a finite number of the free generators,

$$x_i \equiv y_1^{\alpha_{i,1}} y_2^{\alpha_{i,2}} \dots y_k^{\alpha_{i,k}} \mod X' \subseteq Y$$

where the  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then by a series of change of free (abelian) variables for  $\frac{X}{Y}$  and free variables for  $X$  we may assume there exists a free abelian basis  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  for  $\frac{X}{Y}$  and a free basis for  $X$  so that  $x_i \equiv y_i^{\alpha_i} \mod X'$  with  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ . See [2] Chapter 3.

Now no  $\alpha_i$  can be 0 as  $\frac{X}{Y}$  cannot be generated by less than  $r$  elements. Also since each  $y_i$  can be written in terms of the  $x_j$  modulo  $Y$  it also follows that none of the  $\alpha$  can be greater than 1. Thus  $T = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\}$  freely generates  $\frac{X}{Y}$  and  $T$  is part of a free basis,  $Q$  say, for  $X$ .

If  $y \in Q, y \notin T$  then  $y \equiv t_1^{\alpha_1} t_2^{\alpha_2} \dots t_r^{\alpha_r} \mod Y$  with the  $t_i \in T$ . Now replace  $y$  by  $(t_1^{\alpha_1} t_2^{\alpha_2} \dots t_r^{\alpha_r})^{-1} y$  and we see that we can assume that  $y \in Y$ .

Consider now the case when  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is infinitely (countably) generated. Choose  $T = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_i, \dots$  maximal so that  $T$  has the property that it is part of a free (abelian) basis for  $\frac{X}{Y}$  and is part of a free basis,  $Q$  say, for  $X$ .

If  $T$  freely generates  $\frac{X}{Y}$  then we are done. Otherwise we have a set  $T \cup x$  which is part of a free generating set for  $\frac{X}{Y}$ . Now modulo  $X'$ ,  $x \equiv y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots y_s^{\alpha_s}$  with the  $y_i \in Q$  and the  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By changing the free generator  $x$  of  $\frac{X}{Y}$  we may assume that none of the elements of  $T$  occur in the expression for  $x$ . Then by changes of variables we may assume  $x \equiv y^\alpha \mod Y$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1, y \notin T$  and with  $T \cup y$  part of a free generating set for  $X$ . Since  $y$  may be written in terms of the free generators of  $\frac{X}{Y}$  it is clear that  $\alpha$  must be 1. Thus  $T \cup y$  is part of a free basis for both  $\frac{X}{Y}$  and for  $X$ .

As with the finitely generated case we may assume, by changing variables if necessary, that each element of the free generators which is not in  $T$  is in  $Y$ : If  $y \in Q, y \notin T$  then  $y \equiv t_1^{\alpha_1} t_2^{\alpha_2} \dots t_r^{\alpha_r} \mod Y$  with the  $t_i \in T$ . Now replace  $y$  by  $(t_1^{\alpha_1} t_2^{\alpha_2} \dots t_r^{\alpha_r})^{-1} y$  and we see that we can assume that  $y \in Y$ .

That  $B \cup C$  is independent is shown in [2] Theorem 2.1. We now need to show that  $B \cup C$  generates  $Y$ . To do this we introduce a *collecting process* on free generators.

Suppose  $y \in X$  then  $y = w(A, B)$ , a word in  $A$  and  $B$ . In this word **collect elements of  $A$  only**. Then what happens is the uncollected piece consists of a word in  $B$  and  $C$ . Thus we show that:

$$y = a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_r^{\alpha_r} \times w(B, C) \quad (**)$$

where the  $a_i$  are in  $A$  and the  $\alpha_i$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Now if  $y \in Y$ ,  $w(B, C) \in Y$  and the elements of  $A$  are independent modulo  $Y$ , it follows that all the  $\alpha_i$  are 0 and thus  $y = w(B, C)$ .

We now need to show that  $y$  can be written in the form (\*\*). Suppose  $b \in B, x \in A \cup A^{-1}$ . Then

$$bx = xb[b, x]$$

and

$$b^{-1}x = x[b, x]^{-1}b^{-1}$$

These are the fundamental collection formulae.

We may assume that  $A$  is finitely generated since we are only considering a finite number of elements of  $A$  in the expression for  $y$ . Set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$ . Proceed by induction on  $n$  to show that  $y$  is a product of elements of the required form.

By induction we may assume that  $y$  has the form

$$y = a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \times w(B', C') \quad (**)$$

where now  $B' = B \cup a_n$ ,  $A' = A - \{a_n\}$ , and  $C'$  is the set of elements obtained by performing the  $U$  construction on  $(A', B')$ . We now collect  $a_n$ .

Suppose  $c \in C'$ ,  $c$  does not contain  $a_n$  (which means  $c$  does not begin with  $a_n$ ) and  $a \in \{a_n, a_n^{-1}\}$ . Then  $ca = ac[c, a]$  and  $c^{-1}a = a[c, a]^{-1}c^{-1}$ . Then  $[c, a] \in C$ . Suppose now  $c \in C$  with  $c$  containing an element of  $B$ ,  $a$  as before and where now we allow  $c$  to end in  $a_n^{\pm 1}$ . If the last entry of  $c$  has the same sign as  $a$  then  $[c, a] \in C$ . If last entry of  $c$  has different sign to  $a$  then  $c = [c', a^{-1}]$  and  $[c, a] = [c', a^{-1}, a] = [c', a^{-1}]^{-1}[c', a]^{-1}$ . Now  $[c', a^{-1}]$  is in a word in  $B, C$  and we then proceed by induction on the number of occurrences of  $a^{-1}$  in  $c$  to show that  $[c', a]$  is a product of elements in  $C$ .

If  $c \in C'$  contains  $a_n$  or if  $c \in C$  with  $c$  not involving an element of  $B$  then  $ca = ac[c, a]$  and  $[c, a]$  is now in the commutator subgroup of the group generated by  $A$  and is thus a product of elements of  $C$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Suppose now  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is a finitely generated abelian section which is the direct product of cyclic groups  $C_{\gamma_1}, C_{\gamma_2}, \dots, C_{\gamma_r}$  and of  $t$  infinite cyclic groups, where  $C_{\gamma_i}$  has order  $\gamma_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and such that  $\gamma_1/\gamma_2/\dots/\gamma_r$ .

**Theorem 2.2:** *If  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is a finitely generated abelian section which is the direct product of the cyclics  $C_{\gamma_i}$  generated by  $x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $t$  infinite cyclic groups generated by  $x_j$  for  $r < j \leq r + t$  and  $\gamma_i | \gamma_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$ . Then there also exists a free basis  $A \cup B$  for  $X$  with  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  such that  $\hat{B} \cup RU$  is a free basis for  $Y$  where the set  $RU = RU(A_1, A_2, B, \Gamma)$  is the restricted  $U$ -construction formed from  $(A_1, A_2, \hat{B})$ .*

**Proof:**

To prove this theorem we need:

1.  $X$  has a free generating set  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup B$  where  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is the direct product of the cyclic groups  $C_{\gamma_i}$  with  $C_{\gamma_i}$  generated by  $x_i \in A_1$ ,  $t$  infinite cyclics generated by the elements of  $A_2$ , and a set  $B$  in which each element is in  $Y$ .
2.  $\hat{B} \cup RU$  is independent.
3.  $\hat{B} \cup RU$  generates  $Y$ .

Suppose then the torsion part of  $\frac{X}{Y}$  is generated by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  where  $x_i$  has order  $\gamma_i$ .

Then  $x_1 \equiv y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots y_s^{\alpha_s} \pmod{X' \subseteq Y}$  with the  $y_i$  in a free generating set for  $X$ . Then by change of the variables for  $X$  we may assume  $x_1 \equiv y^\alpha \pmod{X' \subseteq Y}$ .

Now  $y \equiv x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \dots x_r^{\delta_r} \times a$  with  $a$  in the torsion free part of  $\frac{X}{Y}$ . Putting these together we get that  $x_1 \equiv y^\alpha \pmod{Y}$  and  $y \equiv x_1^{\delta_1} \pmod{Y}$ . From this it is deduced that  $x_1$  and  $y$  have the same order modulo  $Y$  and that  $x_1$  and  $y$  generate the same subgroup modulo  $Y$ . We can thus replace  $x_1$  by  $y$  as the generator of the cyclic group of order  $\gamma_1$ .

Consider  $x_2$  which has order  $\gamma_2$ . Now  $x_2 \equiv y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots y_s^{\alpha_s} \pmod{X' \subseteq Y}$ . Now by replacing  $x_2$  by  $(y_1^{\alpha_1})^{-1} * x_2$ , which also has order precisely  $\gamma_2$  since  $\gamma_1 | \gamma_2$  we see that we may assume that the  $y_1$  does not appear in this expression for  $x_2$ . Then as for the case  $x_1$  we may replace this  $x_2$  by a free generator  $y_2$  of  $x$  which has also order  $\gamma_2 \pmod{Y}$ .

We continue in this way to replace each  $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$  by a free generator of  $X$  which has also order  $\gamma_i$  modulo  $Y$ .

Let  $T = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\}$  where  $y_i$  generates  $C_{\gamma_i}$  in  $\frac{X}{Y}$ .

Let  $X$  then have basis  $Q = T \cup R$ . The (free abelian) generators of  $\frac{X}{Y}$  which have infinite order are dealt with in the same manner as for the finitely generated free abelian case above. Suppose we have  $x_{r+k}$  of infinite order in  $\frac{X}{Y}$ . First of all write  $x_{r+k}$  as a product of the free generators  $Q$  of  $X$  modulo  $X'$ . If any of the free generators  $y_i \in T$  occurs to the power of  $\alpha$  in this expression then replace  $x_{r+k}$  by  $y_i^{-\alpha} x_{r+k}$ . This new element also has infinite order and does not contain  $y_i$  modulo  $X'$ . If it contains a power of  $y_i$  modulo  $Y$  then this power must be a multiple of  $\gamma_i$ ; in this way we can ensure that the element of infinite order do not contain any of the free generators constructed which have finite order modulo  $Y$ . We then proceed as for the finitely generated case in the free abelian case.

Also if  $x$  is in the free basis for  $X$  which is not one of the  $y_1, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{r+t}$  then as before a change of variable will ensure this is in  $Y$  (as it can be written as product of the  $y_i, 1 \leq i \leq r+t$  modulo  $Y$ ).

Now  $RU = RU(A_1, A_2, B, \Gamma)$  denotes the restricted construction on  $(A_1, A_2, \hat{B})$ . The next step is to show that  $\hat{B} \cup RU$  is independent.

It is clear that  $\hat{B}$  is independent (as  $B \cup A_1$  is independent).

We refer to [2] where it is shown that  $B \cup U$  is independent and is also equivalent to the set  $B \cup Z$ . We now show that every element of  $Y$  can be written in terms of  $RU$ . We show that for  $x \in X$  then

$$x = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_r^{\alpha_r} x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}} \dots x_{r+t}^{\alpha_{r+t}} \times w(\hat{B}, Z)$$

where  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq \alpha_i < \delta_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

Let  $F$  be a free group which contains a subset which is an ordered disjoint union  $A \cup B$ . Several ways of constructing new subsets of  $F$  from  $A$  and  $B$  were defined in [2]. These are

The “ $Z$ -construction” produces the set,  $Z$  say, which consists of all words of one or other of the two forms

$$b^{a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_q^{\alpha_q}} = a_q^{-\alpha_q} a_{q-1}^{-\alpha_{q-1}} \dots a_1^{-\alpha_1} b a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_q^{\alpha_q}$$

where  $q \geq 1$ ,  $b \in B$ , the  $a_i$  are members of  $A$ , each  $\alpha_i = \pm 1$ ,  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_q$  (note that  $b > a_1$  is automatically true) and the sequence  $a_1^{\alpha_1}, a_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_q^{\alpha_q}$  is index-coherent,  
or

$$[b^\beta, a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_p^{\alpha_p}]^{a_{p+1}^{\alpha_{p+1}} a_{p+2}^{\alpha_{p+2}} \dots a_q^{\alpha_q}} = a_q^{-\alpha_q} a_{q-1}^{-\alpha_{q-1}} \dots a_{p+1}^{-\alpha_{p+1}} b^{-\beta} a_p^{-\alpha_p} a_{p-1}^{-\alpha_{p-1}} \dots a_1^{-\alpha_1} b^\beta a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_q^{\alpha_q}$$

where  $1 \leq p \leq q$ ,  $b$  and the  $a_i$  are members of  $A$ ,  $\beta$  and each  $\alpha_i = \pm 1$ ,  $b > a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_p < b \leq a_{p+1} \leq a_{p+2} \leq \dots \leq a_q$  and the sequence  $a_1^{\alpha_1}, a_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_q^{\alpha_q}, b^\beta$  is index-coherent.

The first construction produces the set  $Z_1$  and the second produces  $Z_2$  and then  $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ .

We have already seen the  $U$  construction which is as follows.

The “ $U$ -construction” produces the set,  $U$  say, which consists of all words of the form

$$[b^\beta, a_1^{\alpha_1}, a_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_q^{\alpha_q}]$$

where  $q \geq 1$ ,  $b \in A \cup B$ , the  $a_i$  are members of  $A$ ,  $\beta$  and each  $\alpha_i = \pm 1$ ,  $b > a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_q$ , the sequence  $a_1^{\alpha_1}, a_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_q^{\alpha_q}, b^\beta$  is index-coherent and  $b \in B \Rightarrow \beta = +1$ .

It is shown in [2, Theorem 2.1] that the sets  $B \cup Z$  and  $B \cup U$  are equivalent and if  $A \cup B$  is independent then so is  $B \cup U$ .

We now consider the case where  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  and  $\hat{B} = B \cup \hat{A}_1$  and form the restricted  $Z$  and  $U$  constructions. Thus if  $x_i \in A_1$  and  $\Gamma = \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$  then the number of occurrences of  $x_i \leq \gamma_i$  and if then number is actually equal to  $\gamma_i$  then the sign of  $x_i$  is  $+1$ .

Denote the sets produced by the restricted  $Z$  and  $U$  constructions by  $\hat{Z}$  and  $\hat{U}$  respectively.

It is clear that  $B \cup Z$  is independent (whether or not  $Z$  is restricted). (We have already noted that  $\hat{B}$  is independent.) It is thus sufficient to show that  $\hat{A}_1 \cup Z$  when  $Z$  is restricted is independent. But this is clear as this set is Nielsen reduced - the restriction ensures that cancellation does not proceed so as to involve the central significant factor.

Theorem 2.1 of [3] may then be modified to show that  $\hat{B} \cup \hat{Z}$  and  $\hat{B} \cup \hat{U}$  are equivalent.

We now need to show that  $Y$  is generated by  $\hat{B} \cup \hat{Z}$ . It follows immediately that  $Y$  is generated by  $\hat{B} \cup \hat{U}$  and that each of these sets freely generate  $Y$ . We do this by a collection process on  $A \cup B$ . We show that if  $x \in X$  then

$$x = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{r+t}^{\alpha_{r+t}} \times w(\hat{B}, \hat{Z})$$

with  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $0 \leq \alpha_i < \gamma_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $w(\hat{B}, \hat{Z})$  is a word in  $\hat{B} \cup \hat{Z}$

Then if  $x \in Y$  all the  $\alpha_i$  must be 0.

Initially  $x = w(A, B)$ . We collect elements of  $A$  only but in a restricted manner. First we collect elements of  $A_1$ .

Suppose  $cx^\epsilon$  occurs with  $c > x$  and  $x$  has to be collected. The fundamental collection here is

$$cx^\epsilon p = xb^{x^\epsilon}$$

Suppose  $c = b^{x^\delta}$  and then we get  $b^{x^\delta} x^\epsilon = x^\epsilon b^{x^{\delta+\epsilon}}$ . Suppose now  $x \in A_1$  is of pseudo-order  $\gamma$ . If  $|\delta + \epsilon| < \frac{1}{2}\gamma$  then this finishes collection. If  $|\delta + \epsilon| \geq \frac{1}{2}\gamma$  then  $x^\epsilon b^{x^{\delta+\epsilon}} = x^\epsilon p x^{-\gamma} b^{x^{-\gamma+\delta+\epsilon}} x^\gamma$  when  $\delta + \epsilon > 0$  and  $x^\epsilon b^{x^{\delta+\epsilon}} = x^\epsilon p x^\gamma b^{x^{\gamma+\delta+\epsilon}} x^{-\gamma}$  when  $\delta + \epsilon \leq 0$ . In all cases we ensure that the power of  $x$  in the conjugate of  $b$  occurs less than or equal to  $\frac{1}{2}\gamma$  and if equal to  $\frac{1}{2}\gamma$  then it has positive power.

If  $b = x^\alpha$  with  $x$  to be collected then first of all  $b = x^\alpha = x[x, \alpha]$ . Then  $x$  is collected and it is collected over  $[x, \alpha]$  to give elements of  $Z_2$  and consequently elements of  $Z_2$  when further elements of  $A$  are collected.

When  $x \in A_1$  is fully collected it occurs in the front of elements of  $Z$  in the form  $x^\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{2}\gamma$  and if equal to  $\frac{1}{2}\gamma$  then  $\alpha > 0$ , (where  $\gamma$  is the pseudo-order of  $x$ ). We now ensure that  $x$  occurs in the form  $x^\alpha$  before the elements of  $Z$  with  $0 \leq \alpha < \delta$  by  $x^\alpha = x^{\gamma+\alpha} x^{-\gamma}$  when  $\alpha < 0$  (and  $x^{-\gamma} \in Z$ ).

Thus if  $p$  in  $X$  then

$$p = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{r+t}^{\alpha_{r+t}} \times w(\hat{B}, \hat{Z})$$

with  $0 \leq \alpha_i < \gamma_i$  when  $x_i \in A_1$  has pseudo order  $\gamma_i$ .

Then if  $p \in Y$  it follows that all the  $\alpha_i = 0$  and  $p$  is a word in  $\hat{B}, \hat{Z}$  as required.  $\square$

It is also in certain cases possible to go constructively down abelian sections to get to a group: for example we could study *metabelian section*  $\frac{X}{Z}$  if we know the abelian sections  $\frac{X}{Y}$  and  $\frac{Y}{Z}$ . Having worked on  $X$  modulo  $Y$  we then look at  $Y$  modulo  $Z$ . The processes are inductive so for example when a series of factors are finitely generated it is in theory possible to work from the top group all the way down.

## 2.2 Lower central factors

Suppose  $F$  is freely generated by the finite set  $X$  and that  $R$  is generated as a normal subgroup by  $A = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *There exists a set of free generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  for  $F$  and a set of free generators*

*$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t, w_{t+1}, \dots$  for  $R$  such that  $w_i \equiv x_i^{d_i} \pmod{\gamma_2 F}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t \leq n$  where  $d_i \neq 0$  and  $w_i \in \gamma_2(F)$  for  $i > t$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2, \dots, \hat{w}_s$  be the free generators for  $R$  involved in the expressions for  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m$  as words in the free generators of  $R$ . Then by [2], Chapter 3 (Theorem 3.5) there is a set of free generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  for  $F$  and



a set  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s$  Nielson equivalent to  $\hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2, \dots, \hat{w}_s$  such that  $w_i \equiv x_i^{d_i} \pmod{\gamma_2 F}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t \leq s$  where  $d_i \neq 0$  and  $w_i \in \gamma_2(F)$  for  $i > s$ . Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s, w_{s+1}, \dots$  denote the free generators of  $R$ . Then  $w_{s+i}$  for  $i \geq 1$  is a word, say  $w(s+i)$ , in  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s \pmod{\gamma_2(F)}$  since  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  generate  $R \pmod{[R, F]} \subset \gamma_2(F)$ . Thus replacing  $w_{s+i}$  by  $w_{s+i}w(s+i)^{-1}$  in the free generating set for  $R$  we may assume  $w_{s+i} \in \gamma_2(F)$ .  $\square$

Let  $W_1 = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t$  and  $W_2 = w_{t+1}, \dots$ . Then:

**Theorem 2.3**  *$R$  has free basis  $W_1 \cup W_2$  and  $R \cap \gamma_2(F)$  has free basis  $W_2 \cup U$  where  $U$  is the  $U$ -construction on  $W_1 \cup W_2$ .*

All the elements of  $U$  except those of the form  $[w_i^{\pm 1}, w_j^{\pm 1}]$  with  $w_i, w_j \in W_1$  are automatically in  $\gamma_3(F)$ . Using  $[a^{-1}, b] = [a, b]^{-1}[a, b, a^{-1}]$  and  $[a, b^{-1}] = [a, b]^{-1}[a, b, b^{-1}]$  we may replace  $[w_i^{\pm 1}, w_j^{\pm 1}]$  where one or both of the signs are  $-1$  by a free generator in  $\gamma_3(F)$ .

Let  $\hat{W}$  be the set  $\{[w_i, w_j]\} \in U$ . Note that  $[w_i, w_j] \cong [x_i, \neg j]^{d_i d_j} \pmod{\gamma_3(F)}$  and that  $[x_i, x_j]$  is a basic commutator of weight 2. Now set  $W = \hat{W} \cup \{w_{t+1}, \dots\}$

Then there exists a set  $Q = q_1, q_2, \dots$  equivalent to  $W$  such that  $q_i \cong b_i^{\alpha_i} \pmod{\gamma_3(F)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $\alpha_i \neq 0$  where  $\beta_1, b_2, \dots, b_s$  is equivalent to a set of  $s$  basic commutators of weight 2 and  $q_i \in \gamma_3(F)$ .

Set  $Q_1 = q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$  and  $Q_2 = q_{s+1}, \dots$ .

Then:

**Theorem 2.4**  *$R \cap \gamma_2(F)$  has free basis  $Q_1 \cup Q_2$  and  $R \cup \gamma_3(F)$  has free basis  $Q_2 \cup \hat{U}$  where  $\hat{U}$  is the  $U$ -construction on  $Q_1, Q_2$ .*

Call  $U_2$  the set of  $R$ -basic commutators of weight 2 and  $W_1$  the set  $R$ -basic commutators of weight 1. It is possible to similarly define  $R$ -basic commutators of higher weight and this is the subject of further work.

**Theorem 2.5** *Every element  $w$  in  $R$  can be written uniquely in the form*

$$w \equiv r_1^{\alpha_1} r_2^{\alpha_2} \dots r_t^{\alpha_t} \pmod{R \cap \gamma_3 F}$$

where the  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t$  are the  $R$ -basic commutators of weights  $\leq 2$  and  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_t$  and the  $\alpha_i$  are non-negative integers.

This process can be continued and we can define a set of  $R$ -basic of weight  $n$  which will be a basis for  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_n F}{R \cap \gamma_{n+1} F}$ .

This general method follows the process as given above for the cases  $n = 2, 3$ . A basic commutator  $b$  which corresponds non-trivially to a free generator  $w$  modulo  $\gamma_m F \cap R$  is replaced by this  $w$  in any further basic commutator which contains this  $b$  as a constituent. The details are omitted here but gave rise to the general idea of  $R$ -basic commutators of weight  $n$ . A Hall-like relative basis theorem then follows:

**Theorem 2.6** *Every element  $w$  in  $R$  can be written uniquely in the form*

$$w \equiv r_1^{\alpha_1} r_2^{\alpha_2} \dots r_t^{\alpha_t} \quad \text{modulo} \quad R \cap \gamma_{n+1} F$$

where the  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t$  are the  $R$ -basic commutators of weights  $\leq n$  and  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_t$  and the  $\alpha_i$  are integers.

### 2.3 Factors related to the Schur Multiplier

Suppose  $F$  is freely generated on a finite set and  $R$  is finitely generated as a normal subgroup. Then there exists a basis  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t, w_{t+1}, \dots$ , for  $R$  and a basis  $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s$  for  $F$  such that  $w_i \equiv x^{\alpha_i} \pmod{F'}$ ,  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq t \leq s$  and  $w_j \in F'$  for  $j > t$ ; see 2.2. Let  $W_1 = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t$  and  $W_2 = w_{t+1}, \dots$ .

Suppose now  $r \in R \cap \gamma_2(F)$ . Then  $r = w_1^{\beta_1} w_2^{\beta_2} \dots w_t^{\beta_t} w_{t+1}^{\beta_{t+1}} \dots \pmod{R'}$  (with only a finite number of non-zero powers). As  $r \in \gamma_2(F)$  and  $w_j \in \gamma_2(F)$  for  $j \geq t+1$  this implies that  $\beta_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Thus  $r$  is generated modulo  $R'$  by elements in  $W_2$ .

Apply the  $U$ -construction to  $W_1 \cup W_2$  to get a set  $U_1$  which is part of a free generating set for  $R'$ .

**Theorem 2.7**  *$R \cap \gamma_2(F)$  has free generating set  $W_2 \cup U_1$  and  $R'$  has free generating set  $U_1 \cup U$  where  $U$  is the set obtained from the  $U$  construction on  $W_2 \cup U_1$ .*

**Proof:** We need to show that  $R \cap \gamma_2(F)$  is generated by  $W_2 \cup U_1$ . Consider an element  $r \in R \cap \gamma_2(F)$ . This is a word  $w$  in  $W_1 \cup W_2$ . We know that the coefficient sum of any element of  $W_1$  in  $w$  is 0. Collect in  $w$  the elements of  $W_1$  as described in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Since the coefficient sum of any element of  $W_1$  in  $w$  is 0 and elements of  $U_1$  are formed in the collection process it is then clear that  $w$  is a word in  $W_2 \cup U_1$ . This set is also independent.

The  $U$  construction on  $W_1$  and  $U_1$  gives the free generators of  $R'$  as required.

□

Suppose now  $R$  is generated as a normal subgroup by  $S = r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ . Then clearly  $S$  generates  $\frac{R}{[R, F]}$ . Then there exist a set  $\hat{S} = \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \dots, \hat{r}_n$  equivalent to  $S$  such that  $\hat{r}_i \equiv x_i^{\alpha_i} \pmod{\gamma_2(F)}$ ,  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq s \leq n$ , and  $\hat{r}_i \in \gamma_2(F)$  for  $i > s$  where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s$  is part of a free basis for  $F$ . Set  $T = \hat{r}_{s+1}, \hat{r}_{s+2}, \dots, \hat{r}_n$ .

**Lemma 2.2**  *$T$  generates  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_2(F)}{[R, F]}$ .*

**Proof:** Consider  $r \in R \cap \gamma_2(F)$ . Then  $r = \prod_{i=1}^n r_i^{\alpha_i} \pmod{[R, F]}$ . Since  $r \in$

$R \cap \gamma_2(F)$  and  $r_i \in R \cap \gamma_2(F)$  for  $i > s$  it follows that  $\prod_{i=1}^s r_i^{\alpha_i} \in \gamma_2(F)$  from which it follows that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Thus  $T$  generates  $\frac{R \cap \gamma_2(F)}{[R, F]}$ . □

Now from Theorem 2.7 each  $r_i$  for  $i > s$  is a product of elements from  $W_2$  modulo  $R'$ . From this it follows that exists a  $T' = r'_{s+1}, r'_{s+2}, \dots, r'_n$  equivalent to  $T$  and a set  $\hat{W}_2 = \hat{w}_{s+1}, \hat{w}_{s+2}, \dots$ , equivalent to  $W_2$  with  $r'_i \equiv \hat{w}_i^{\beta_i} \pmod{R'}$ ,  $\beta_i \neq 0$ , for  $s+1 \leq i \leq t \leq n$  and  $r'_i \in R'$  for  $t+1 \leq i \leq n$ . Set  $W = \{\hat{w}_i^{\beta_i} \mid s+1 \leq i \leq t\}$ . Thus:

**Theorem 2.8**  $W$  generates  $\frac{R \cap F'}{[R, F']}$ .

## References

1. P. Hall, *The Edmonton notes on Nilpotent groups*, Queen Mary College 1970.
2. K. W. Gruenberg, *Cohomological Topics in Group Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 143, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
3. Hurley, T.C. & Ward, M.A. "Bases for commutator subgroups of a free group" , Proc. RIA, Vol 96A, No. 1, 43-65 (1996).
4. Magnus, W., Karrass, A. , Solitar, D., *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience 1966.

Ted Hurley  
Department of Mathematics  
National University of Ireland, Galway  
Galway  
Ireland.  
email: ted.hurley@nuigalway.ie